On a generalization

of Leonov's invariant cones method for boundary control problems

V. Reitmann *

Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia Department of Mathematics and Mechanics

Equadiff 07, August 5 - 11, 2007, Vienna

*Supported by DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service)

1. Control systems in Lur'e form with a Duffing type nonlinearity

Let $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_{-1}$ be a Gelfand rigging of the real Hilbert space \mathcal{V}_0 , i.e. a chain of Hilbert spaces with dense and continuous inclusions. Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_j}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{V}_j}$, j = 1, 0, -1, the scalar product resp. norm in \mathcal{V}_j (j = 1, 0, -1) and by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_{-1}, \mathcal{V}_1}$ the pairing between \mathcal{V}_{-1} and \mathcal{V}_1 .

Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_{-1})$ be a linear operator,

 $b_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{-1}$ a generalized vector, $c_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ a vector and $d_0 < 0$ a number. According to the vectors c_0 and b_0 we introduce the linear operators $C_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbb{R})$ and $B_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{V}_{-1})$ by $C_0 \nu = (c_0, \nu)_{\mathcal{V}_0}, \forall \nu \in \mathcal{V}_0$, and $B_0 \xi := \xi b_0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assume that $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two scalar-valued functions. Our aim is to study a system of indirect control, which is formally given as

$$\dot{\nu} = A_0 \nu + b_0 [\phi(t, z) + g(t)],$$

$$\dot{z} = (c_0, \nu)_{\mathcal{V}_0} + d_0 [\phi(t, z) + g(t)].$$
(1)

Let us demonstrate how (1) can be written as a standard control system. Consider for this the Gelfand rigging $Y_1 \subset Y_0 \subset Y_{-1}$, in which

$$Y_j := \mathcal{V}_j \times \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 0, -1.$$
(2)

The scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_j$ in Y_j is introduced as

 $((\nu_1, z_1), (\nu_2, z_2))_j := (\nu_1, \nu_2)_{\mathcal{V}_j} + z_1 z_2$, where $(\nu_1, z_1), (\nu_2, z_2) \in Y_j$ are arbitrary. The pairing between Y_{-1} and Y_1 is defined for $(h, \xi) \in \mathcal{V}_{-1} \times \mathbb{R} = Y_{-1}$ and $(\nu, \varsigma) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R} = Y_1$ through

$$((h,\xi),(\nu,\varsigma))_{-1,1} := (h,\nu)_{\mathcal{V}_{-1},\mathcal{V}_{1}} + \xi\varsigma.$$
(3)

Let $b := \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ d_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}$ and $c := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_0$. Suppose further that the operators $C \in \mathcal{L}(Y_0, \mathbb{R})$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, Y_{-1})$ are given as

$$Cy = (c, y)_0, \quad \forall y \in Y_0, \qquad B\xi = \xi b, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y_1, Y_{-1})$ is defined as

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} A_0 \ 0 \\ C_0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider now the system

$$\dot{y} = Ay + B[\phi(t,z) + g(t)], \ z = Cy$$
, (4)

which is equivalent to (1) through $y = (\nu, z)$.

If $-\infty \leq T_1 < T_2 \leq +\infty$ are arbitrary, we define the norm for Bochner measurable functions in $L^2(T_1, T_2; Y_j), j = 1, 0, -1$, by

$$\|y\|_{2,j} := \left(\int_{T_1}^{T_2} \|y(t)\|_j^2 dt\right)^{1/2} .$$
 (5)

Let $\mathcal{W}(T_1, T_2; Y_1, Y_{-1})$ be the space of functions y such that

 $y \in L^2(T_1, T_2; Y_1)$ and $\dot{y} \in L^2(T_1, T_2; Y_{-1})$, equipped with the norm

$$\|y\|_{\mathcal{W}(T_1,T_2;Y_1,Y_{-1})} := \left(\|y\|_{2,1}^2 + \|\dot{y}\|_{2,-1}^2\right)^{1/2} . \tag{6}$$

Let us introduce the following assumptions (A1) – (A6) about the operator $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_{-1})$, the vectors $b_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{-1}$ and $c_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, and the functions ϕ and g.

 $(f_{1}, f_{2}) \in L^{2}(0, T; \mathcal{V}_{-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ the problem}$ $\dot{\nu} = A_{0}\nu + f_{1}(t), \qquad (7)$ $\dot{z} = (c_{0}, \nu)_{\mathcal{V}_{0}} + f_{2}(t), \quad (\nu(0), z(0)) = (\nu_{0}, z_{0})$

is well-posed, i.e. for arbitrary

(A1) For any T > 0 and any

 $(\nu_0, z_0) \in Y_0, (f_1, f_2) \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{V}_{-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ there exists a unique solution $(\nu, z) \in \mathcal{W}(0, T; Y_1, Y_{-1})$ satisfying (7) in a variational sense and depending continuously on the initial data, i.e.

$$\|(\nu, z)\|_{\mathcal{W}(0,T;Y_1,Y_{-1})}^2 \leq k_1 \|(\nu_0, z_0)\|_{\mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R}}^2 + k_2 \|(f_1, f_2)\|_{2,-1}^2 ,$$
 (8)

where $k_1 > 0$ and $k_2 > 0$ are some constants.

(A2) There is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $A_0 + \lambda I$ is a Hurwitz operator.

(A3) For any T > 0, $(\nu_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, $(\tilde{\nu}_0, \tilde{z}_0) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(f_1, f_2) \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R})$ the solution of the direct problem (7) and the solution of the adjoint problem

$$\dot{\tilde{\nu}} = -(A_0^+ + \lambda I)\tilde{\nu} + f_1(t)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{z}} = -C_0^+ \tilde{z} - \lambda \tilde{z} + f_2(t)$$
(9)

are strongly continuous in t in the norm of $\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

(A4) The pair (A_0, b_0) is L^2 -controllable, i.e. for arbitrary $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ there exists a control $\xi(\cdot) \in L^2(0, \infty; \mathbb{R})$ such that the problem

 $\dot{\nu} = A_0 \nu + b_0 \xi$, $\nu(0) = \nu_0$

is well-posed in the variational sense on $(0,\infty)$.

Introduce by (c denotes the complexification)

$$\chi(p) = \left(c_0^c, (A_0^c - pI^c)^{-1} b_0^c\right)_{\mathcal{V}_0}, \quad p \in \rho(A_0^c)$$

the transfer function of the triplet (A_0^c, b_0^c, c_0^c) .

(A5) Suppose $\lambda > 0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$ are parameters, where λ is from (A2). Then:

a)
$$\lambda d_0 + \operatorname{Re}(-i\omega - \lambda)\chi(i\omega - \lambda) + \kappa_1 |\chi(i\omega - \lambda) - d_0|^2 \le 0$$
, $\forall \omega \ge 0$. (10)

(A6) The function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\phi(t, 0) = 0$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$. There are numbers $\kappa_1 > 0$ (from (A5)), $0 \le \kappa_2 < \kappa_3 < +\infty, \beta_1 < \beta_2$ and $\zeta_2 < \zeta_1$ such that:

a)
$$\beta_1 < g(t) < \beta_2$$
, (11)

for a.a. t from an arbitrary compact time interval;

b)
$$(\phi(t,z) + \beta_i)(z - \zeta_i) \le \kappa_1(z - \zeta_i)^2, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

 $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall z \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1];$ (11a)
c) $\kappa_2(z_1 - z_2)^2 \le (\phi(t, z_1) - \phi(t, z_2))(z_1 - z_2) \le$

 $\kappa_3(z_1 - z_2)^2, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall z_1, z_2 \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1].$ (11b)

Theorem 1 Assume that for system (1) the hypotheses (A1) - (A6) are satisfied. Then there exists a closed, positively invariant and convex set G such that

$$\{(\nu, z) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R} \mid \nu = 0, z \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1]\} \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \{(\nu, z) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R} \mid z \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1]\}.$$
(12)

Suppose that $Y_1 \subset Y_0 \subset Y_{-1}$ is a Gelfand rigging of $Y_0, \|\cdot\|_j, (\cdot, \cdot)_j$ are the corresponding norms and scalar products, respectively, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-1,1}$ is the pairing between Y_{-1} and Y_1 . Consider the linear system

$$\dot{y} = Ay, \quad z = (c, y)_0,$$
 (13)

where $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y_1, Y_{-1})$ and $c \in Y_0$.

Assume that for each $y_0 \in Y_0$ there exists a unique solution $y(\cdot, y_0)$ of (13) in $\mathcal{W}(0, \infty)$ satisfying $y(0, y_0) = y_0$. In the sequel we need the following assumption.

Brusin, V. A. (1976). The Lur'e equations in Hilbert space and its solvability. *Prikl. Math. Mekh.* **40** (5), 947 – 955. (in Russian)

Likhtarnikov, A. L. and V. A. Yakubovich (1976). The frequency theorem for equations of evolutionary type. *Siberian Math. J.* **17**, 790 – 803.

(A7) The space Y_0 can be decomposed as $Y_0 = Y_0^+ \oplus Y_0^-$ such that the following holds:

- a) For each $y_0 \in Y_0^+$ we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t, y_0) = 0$. For each $y_0 \in Y_0^-$ there exists a unique solution $y_-(t) = y(t, y_0)$ of (13), defined on $(-\infty, 0)$, such that $\lim_{t\to-\infty} y_-(t) = 0$ and $(c, y(t, y_0))_0 = 0, \forall t \ge 0$, if and only if $y_0 = 0$.
- b) For each $y_0 \in Y_0^+$ the equality $(c, y(t, y_0))_0 = 0, \forall t \leq 0$, holds if and only if $y_0 = 0$. For each $y_0 \in Y_0^-$ the equality $(c, y(t, y_0))_0 = 0, \forall t \leq 0$, holds if and only if $y_0 = 0$.

Lemma 1 Suppose that system (13) satisfies (A7) and there exists a linear continuous operator $P : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0, P^* = P$, such that for any $s \leq t$ and any solution $y(\cdot, y_0)$ of (13) we have with $V(y) := (y, Py)_0, y \in Y_0$,

$$V(y(t, y_0)) - V(y(s, y_0)) \le -\int_s^t (c, y(\tau, y_0))_0^2 d\tau.$$
 (14)

Then

$$P_{|Y_0^+} \ge 0 \ , \ \textit{i.e.} \ , \ (y, Py)_0 > 0$$

for all $y \in Y_0^+ \setminus \{0\}$ (15)

and

$$P_{|Y_0^-} \le 0 \ , \ \textit{i.e.} \ , \ (y, Py)_0 < 0$$

for all $y \in Y_0^- \setminus \{0\} \ .$ (16)

Assume that *Y* is a Hilbert space with scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) . A *cone* in *Y* is a set $C \subset Y, C \neq \emptyset$, such that $y \in C, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ imply that $\alpha y \in C$. It is easy to see that a cone *C* in *Y* is convex if and only if $y_1, y_2 \in C$ imply that $y_1 + y_2 \in C$.

Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y), P = P^*$. Then the set

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ y \in Y \mid (y, Py) \le 0 \}$$

is a cone which is called by us quadratic.

Assume that there is a decomposition $Y = Y^+ \oplus Y^-$ such that $P_{|Y^+} \ge 0$ and $P_{|Y^-} \le 0$. Then the quadratic cone

 $\{y \in Y \mid (y, Py) \le 0\}$

is called by us quadratic cone of dimension dim Y^- .

Lemma 2 Suppose that:

- 1) $Y_1 \subset Y_0 \subset Y_{-1}$ is a Gelfand rigging of the Hilbert space Y_0 with scalar products $(\cdot, \cdot)_i$, corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|_i$, i = 1, 0, -1, and pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-1}$, between Y_{-1} and Y_1 ;
- 2) There is an operator $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y_{-1}, Y_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(Y_0, Y_1)$, self-adjoint in Y_0 such that $\mathcal{C} := \{y \in Y_0 \mid (y, Py)_0 \le 0\}$

is an 1-dimensional quadratic cone;

3) There are vectors $h \in Y_{-1}$ and $r \in Y_0$ such that

$$2(h, Py)_{-1,1} = (r, y)_0, \ \forall y \in Y_1$$
(17)

and
$$(h,r)_{-1,1} < 0$$
. (18)

$$\operatorname{int} \mathcal{C} \cap \{ y \in Y_1 \, | \, (y, r)_0 = 0 \} = \emptyset \,. \tag{19}$$

Blyagoz, Z.U. and G.A. Leonov (1978). Frequency criteria for stability in the large of nonlinear systems. *Vestn. Leningr. Univers.* **13**, 18 – 23. (in Russian)

Leonov, G.A. and A.N. Churilov (1976). Frequency-domain conditions for boundedness of solutions of phase systems. *Dynamics of systems, Meshvuz. Sb., Gorky* **10**, 3 – 20. (in Russian)

V. Reitmann (1982). Über die Beschränktheit der Lösungen nichtstationärer Phasensysteme. *ZAA* **1**, 83 – 93.

Really, in the finite-dimensional case we have $Y_1 = Y_0 = Y_{-1} = \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-1,1} = (\cdot, \cdot)_0 = (\cdot, \cdot)$ the Euclidean inner product and $P = P^*$, det $P \neq 0$, a regular symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Assumption (17) in Lemma 2 states that there are vectors $h, r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$2(h, Py) = (r, y), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(20)

It follows from (20) that

$$2h = P^{-1}r$$
 (21)

Equation (21) shows that assumption (18) of Lemma 2 takes the form

$$(r, P^{-1}r) < 0$$
 (22)

If (22) is satisfied, it follows from Lemma 2 for the 1-dimensional quadratic cone

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n | (y, Py) \le 0 \} \text{ that}$$
$$\operatorname{int} \mathcal{C} \cap \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n | (y, r) = 0 \} = \emptyset .$$
(23)

(A8) The imbedding $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$ is compact.

(A9) The family of operators

 $\{\mathcal{A}(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{A}(t) : Y_1 \to Y_{-1}, \text{ given by} \\ \mathcal{A}(t)\eta := -A\eta - B\phi(t, C\eta), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \eta \in Y_1, \text{ is monotone on the} \\ \text{segment } \{\eta \in Y_1 \mid C\eta \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1]\}, \text{ i.e. for any } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ we have} \end{cases}$

$$(\mathcal{A}(t)\eta - \mathcal{A}(t)\vartheta, \eta - \vartheta)_{-1,1} \ge 0, \forall \eta, \vartheta \in Y_1, \text{ such that } C\eta, C\vartheta \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1].$$
 (24)

Theorem 2 Assume that for system (1) the assumptions (A1) – (A9) are satisfied. Then it holds:

a) For any $g \in BS^2(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ and any $(\nu_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G} is the associated positively invariant set, there exists a solution $(\nu, z) \in \mathcal{W}(0, \infty; \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{V}_{-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ of (1) such that $(\nu(0), z(0)) = (\nu_0, z_0)$.

b) For any $g \in BS^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ there exists for (1) a solution

$$(\nu_*, z_*) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathbb{R}) \cap BS^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{R}).$$
 (25)

(A10) Any continuous function ϕ which satisfies (11a) and (11b) has a continuous extension to a function $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (11a) and (11b) for all $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3 Assume that for system (1) the assumptions (A1) – (A10) are satisfied and in addition to this the following holds:

(i) The operator
$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & \kappa_2 B_0 \\ C_0 & \kappa_2 d_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 from $\mathcal{L}(Y_1, Y_{-1})$ is Hurwitz;

(ii) There exists a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_3 - \kappa_2} + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\chi(i\omega) - d_0}{i\omega + \kappa_2(\chi(i\omega) - d_0)} > \epsilon, \ \forall \, \omega \in \mathbb{R} \,.$$
(26)

Then we have:

a) For any $g \in BS^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ system (1) has a unique solution (ν_*, w_*) inside \mathcal{G} which satisfies (25) and this solution is exponentially stable inside \mathcal{G} .

b) Let the families of functions

 $\{\phi(\cdot, z) \mid z \in [\zeta_2, \zeta_1]\}$ and $\{\tilde{\phi}(\cdot, z) \mid z \in S\}$, where $\tilde{\phi}$ is from (A9) and $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary bounded interval, be uniformly Bohr a.p.. Then for any S^2 -a.p. forcing function g the unique in \mathcal{G} bounded and exponentially stable solution (ν_*, z_*) is Bohr a.p..

2. Example

We consider the restricted *boundary control problem* for the temperature (Butkovskii, 1975)

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t &= \delta_1 \theta_{xx} - \delta_2 \,\theta \,, \quad \delta_1 > 0, \delta_2 > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{27} \\ \theta_{x_{|x=0}} &= 0 \,, \, \theta_{x_{|x=1}} = \delta_3 [\phi(t,w) + g(t)] \,, \, \delta_3 \in \mathbb{R} \,, \end{aligned} \\ \dot{w} &= \int_0^1 \theta(x,t) \, k(x) \, dx + \delta_4 [\phi(t,w) + g(t)] \,. \end{aligned} \tag{28} \\ \text{Here } k(\cdot) \text{ is a kernel function} \,, \quad \delta_4 < 0 \,, \end{aligned} \\ \phi(t,w) &= w - \delta_5(t) w^3 \text{ is a Duffing-type} \\ \text{nonlinearity} \,, \quad \delta_5(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Nonlinearity and forcing function:

$$\phi(w) = w - \delta_5 w^3, \ \delta_5 > 0$$

$$\phi = \Phi', \qquad \Phi(w) = \frac{w^2}{2} - \frac{\delta_5}{4} w^4 \qquad \text{double-well potential}$$



$$r_{2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \delta_{5}}} + \varepsilon$$

$$r_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \delta_{5}}} - \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{small}$$

$$q_{2} = -\phi(r_{2}), \quad q_{1} = -\phi(r_{1})$$

$$\kappa_{1} = \frac{\delta_{2}^{2}}{4}$$

Write (27), (28) as ODE in Hilbert space

$$\dot{\nu} = A_0 \nu + B_0[\phi(t, w) + g(t)]$$
(30)

$$\dot{w} = C_0 \nu + d_0 [\phi(t, w) + g(t)],$$
 (31)

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &:= W^{1,2}(0,1) , \quad \mathcal{V}_0 &:= L_2(0,1) , \quad \mathcal{V}_{-1} = \mathcal{V}_1^* , \\ \text{space of test} & \text{state space} & \text{dual space} \\ \text{functions} & (\text{w.r.t. } \mathcal{V}_0) \end{aligned}$

$$(\nu,\vartheta)_1 := \int_0^1 [\nu\vartheta + \nu_x\vartheta_x] dx, \qquad \nu,\vartheta \in \mathcal{V}_1.$$

 $A_0: \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-1}$ is given by

$$(A_0\nu,\vartheta) = -\int_0^1 [\delta_1\nu'(x)\vartheta'(x) + \delta_2\nu(x)\vartheta(x)] \, dx \, .$$

 $B_0: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{V}_{-1}$ (Control operator) is given through

$$(B_0\xi,\nu)=\delta_1\xi\nu(1), \quad \forall \xi\in\mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \nu\in\mathcal{V}_1,$$

i.e. $B_0 = \delta_1 \delta(x - 1)$ is Dirac's δ -function concentrated at x = 1. $C_0 : \mathcal{V}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ (measurement operator) is given by

$$C_0\nu := \int_0^1 k(x)\nu(x)dx , \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{V}_0.$$

Variational solution of (30), (31)

A pair of functions $(\theta(x, t), w(t))$ is a *weak solution* of (27), (28) on (0, T) if

$$\theta(\cdot, t) \in W^{1,2}(0, 1) , \qquad w, \dot{w} \in L^{2}(0, T) ,$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} [\theta \eta_{t} - (\delta_{1} \theta_{x} \eta_{x} + \delta_{2} \theta \eta)] dx + \delta_{1} \delta_{3} [\phi(t, w) + g(t)] \eta(1, t) \right\} dt = 0 , \qquad (32)$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\{ w(t)\zeta(t) + \left(\int_{0}^{1} \theta(x, t)k(x) dx + \delta_{4} [\phi(t, w) + g(t)] \right) \zeta(t) \right\} dt = 0 , \qquad (33)$$

∀ smooth test function η(x, t), η(x, 0) = η(x, 1) = 0,
∀ smooth test function ζ(t), ζ(0) = ζ(T) = 0.

(A6):

Transfer function: $\chi(p) = \int_0^1 \tilde{\theta}(x, p) dx$ where $\tilde{\theta}(x, p)$ is the solution of the BVP $(k(x) \equiv 1, \delta_3 = 1, \delta_4 = -1, \delta_5(t) \equiv \delta_5)$:

$$p \tilde{\theta} = \delta_1 \tilde{\theta}'' - \delta_2 \tilde{\theta} ,$$

$$\tilde{\theta}'_{|_{x=0}} = 0, \quad \tilde{\theta}'_{|_{x=1}} = 1 .$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\theta}(x,p) = \frac{\cosh\sqrt{p+\delta_2} x}{\sqrt{p+\delta_2}\sinh\sqrt{p+\delta_2}} ,$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \chi(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p+\delta_2}\sinh\sqrt{p+\delta_2}} ,$$

$$\int_0^1 \cosh\sqrt{p+\delta_2} \, dx = \frac{1}{p+\delta_2} ,$$

 \Rightarrow sufficient to assume that

$$|g(t)| < rac{2}{3\sqrt{3\,\delta_5}}$$
 a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\kappa_{2} = \phi'(r_{1}), \ \kappa_{3} = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow (A6)$$

$$\chi(p) = \frac{1}{p + \delta_{2}}$$

$$\lambda \in (0, \delta_{2}) \qquad \Rightarrow (A2)$$

$$\lambda^{2} - \delta_{2}\lambda + \kappa_{1} \leq 0 \qquad \Rightarrow (A5)$$

